US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

2/8/2993

Reviewed by: Bradford L. Whitfield, Ph.D. BEIA, Health and Safety Research Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6050

EPA Reviewer: Byron T. Backus, Ph.D.

Section II, Toxicology Branch II

HED (H7509C)
By 1 / B ash
2/8/93

DATA EVALUATION REPORT

<u>CHEMICAL</u>: Silver Zinc Zeolite (AgZn Zeolite)

TOX. CHEM. NO.: N/A

STUDY TYPE: In vivo chromosome aberration assay in Sprague-Dawley rats

MRID NUMBER: 420328-04

SYNONYMS/CAS NO.: N/A

SPONSOR: Kanebo Zeolite USA, Inc.

New York, NY 10118

TESTING FACILITY: Arthur D. Little, Inc.

30 Memorial Drive Cambridge, MA 02142

TITLE OF REPORT: Silver Zinc Zeolite-In vivo Chromosomal Aberration Assay in Sprague-**Dawley Rats**

AUTHOR(S): Kenneth S. Loveday

STUDY NUMBER(S): ADL 66365-00

REPORT ISSUED: May 13, 1991

CONCLUSION(S): Under the conditions of this study, Silver Zinc Zeolite did not induce chromosomal aberrations in bone marrow cells of male or female Sprague-Dawley rats following oral gavage at doses of 500, 1500 or 5000 mg/kg, and sacrifice times of 6, 18 or 24 hours after treatment.

CLASSIFICATION: Not Acceptable. The classification of this study is upgradeable to acceptable provided adequate explanation and clarification is given (on an individual animal basis) as to why slides could not be read from many of the males, particularly those of the 5000 mg/kg dosage group sacrificed at 18 hours.

A. Materials:

Description: S Color: White Lot #: not giv Purity: 99%, A Contaminants	ven, received on June 13, 1989 Ag (3.1%), Zn (6.1%), as supplied by sponsor
2. <u>Controls</u> : Negative:	0.5% CMC by oral gavage in deionized water (Sigma Chemical Company, lot number 114F-0414)
Positive:	Cyclophosphamide (30 mg/kg by oral gavage in deionized water) (Sigma Chemical Company, lot number 114F-0393)
	st substance administered: variable - volume of a 50, 150 or 500 mg/ml stock quired to give a dose of 500, 1500 or 5000 mg/kg (3.2 ml for heaviest rat)
Route of administr	ation: oral gavage
Dose levels used: 5	00, 1500, 5000 mg/kg
4. Test animal: a. Species: Rat Weight: male Source: Tacon	
b. No. animals used	1 per dose: $5+1$ males $5+1$ females
c. Properly maintai YES	ned?
B. TEST PERFORM	ANCE
Treatment and Sar a. Test compour Dosing: X	
Sampling (after	er last dose): X 6 hr 12 hr X 24 hr 48 hr 72 hr (mark all that are appropriate) X other (describe): 18 hr

b. Negative and/or vehicle control
Dosing: X once twice (24 hr apart) other (describe):
Sampling (after last dose): X 6 hr 12 hr X 24 hr 48 hr 72 hr (mark all that are appropriate) X other (describe): 18 hr
c. Positive control
Dosing: X once twice (24 hr apart) other (describe):
Sampling (after last dose): 6 hr 12 hr X_24 hr 72 hr (mark all that are appropriate) other (describe):
2. <u>Tissues and Cells Examined</u> :
X bone marrow other (list):
3. Details of Slide Preparation:

Bone marrow cells were centrifuged, collected and resuspended in hypotonic buffer solution for 20 minutes at 37°C, then fixed 3 - 4 times in absolute methanol:glacial acetic acid (3:1) solution by centrifugation and resuspension. Drops of the concentrated cell suspension were placed on clean moist glass slides, air dried at least 24 hours and stained with 5% Giemsa for 5-8 minutes at room temperature.

4. Preliminary Cytotoxicity Assay:

No toxicity was observed in Sprague-Dawley rats following oral exposure to 5000 mg/kg of AgZn zeolite.

5. Cytogenetic Assay:

Six independent assays were done, three in females and three in males with sacrifice times as given above. In addition, a negative control group was run with all assays and a positive control group was included in the two 24-hour assays.

Structural chromosomal damage was evaluated in bone marrow cells arrested at the first metaphase following treatment with the test agent. Metaphase arrest was induced by injecting the rats with 1.5 mg/kg colchicine about 2 - 2.5 hours before sacrifice. Rats were killed by CO₂ asphyxiation and bone marrow cells collected from the femur(s) by flushing the cavity with 37°C hypotonic buffer solution (0.03 M KCl, 0.01 M sodium citrate) using a hypodermic needle and a syringe.

The percent mitotic index (MI) on an individual animal basis was calculated by counting the number of metaphase cells in at least 500 cells. %MI = (# metaphase cells observed / total # cells observed) x 100.

Cells were analyzed for chromatid and chromosome breaks, chromatid and chromosome gaps, interstitial deletions, double minute chromosomes, dicentrics, ring chromosomes, triradials, quadriradials, complex rearrangements, cells with at least one pulverized chromosome and cells with greater than 10 aberrations.

The total number of aberrations, the number of aberrations per cell, the number of cells with aberrations, and the percent of cells with aberrations were calculated twice, once including gaps in the calculations and once not including gaps. Normally, gaps are not included in calculating chromosomal aberrations. AgZn Zeolite did not induce an increase in chromosomal aberrations in either male or female rats as tested in this study either with or without gaps included in the calculation. No toxicity was observed during the study.

6. Reviewer's Discussion/conclusions:

The study followed the pertinent federal guidelines for conducting an in vivo chromosomal aberration assay in rat bone marrow cells (however, as discussed in the next paragraph, the number of male rats actually analyzed falls short, in many cases, of the desired five animals). The results were consistently negative in both male and female rats at all tested concentrations and sampling times. The authors of the study state that the number of chromosomal breaks and gaps were too low in both the control and test animals to evaluate using a quantitative statistical method; therefore, "the frequency of cells with chromosomal damage from the negative control groups were pooled from male and female animals to provide a range of values." Frequencies of aberrant cells from animals exposed to AgZn zeolite were compared to this range.

The authors state that six animals were dosed per test group (13 test groups per sex) and that 50 metaphase cells from each of five animals were analyzed for chromosomal aberrations where possible. This was possible in 11 of the 13 female groups but in only five of the 13 male groups (see attached tables 7 and 14). Three rats were analyzed in six of the male groups and only one rat in the 18-hour 5000 mg/kg group. The authors do not comment on this difference. Reasons given for not analyzing a slide included poor quality metaphase cells or low mitotic index. Why this appears to be predominantly a problem with males is not discussed. No toxicity was observed as a result of AgZn zeolite exposure although two male rats died as a result of gavage errors, one from the six-hour group and one from the 18-hour group. The rat from the 18-hour group was replaced with an extra rat. Therefore, the difference was not a smaller number of male rats to analyze.

Results from positive and negative controls were as expected. Summary tables of results for male and female rats are attached.

In conclusion, this study will meet the requirements for an in vivo cytogenetics assay in rats if an explanation is given for the smaller number of male rats analyzed.

- 7. Was the test performed under GLPs (is a quality assurance statement present)? YES
- 8. CBI appendix attached? NO

Silver Zinc Dealite OFR

Page is not included in this copy. Pages through are not included in this copy.
The material not included contains the following type of information:
Identity of product inert ingredients.
Identity of product inert impurities.
Description of the product manufacturing process.
Description of quality control procedures.
Identity of the source of product ingredients.
Sales or other commercial/financial information.
A draft product label.
The product confidential statement of formula.
Information about a pending registration action.
FIFRA registration data.
The document is a duplicate of page(s)
The document is not responsive to the request.
The information not included is generally considered confidential by product registrants. If you have any questions, please contact the individual who prepared the response to your request.